Binghamton University Distinguished Professor FACULTY PERSONNEL ACTION SUMMARY

name:			
Acad	lemic Subdivision:		
	In order for the candidate to be eligible for appointme Distinguished Professor, the following criteria nee		F
The c	candidate:	Correc	ct
Does not currently hold a Distinguished Faculty Rank		[]
Is currently employed full-time		[]
	To be nominated for the Distinguished Profess	sorship:	
	The person's work must be of such a character that the individual's presence will elevate the standards of scholarship of colleagues both within and beyond the individual's respective academic field.		
	The individual must have achieved national or international prominence and a distinguished reputation within the individual's chosen field through significant contributions to the research literature or through artistic performance or achievement in the case of the fine and performing arts, beyond that which is expected for a full professor		
	The individual must have obtained national or international awards that provide evidence of distinguished research, scholarship, or other creative activity.		
	Individuals who are also inventors should have achieve	d prominence as	;

assessed by the extent their discoveries have had measurable benefit to society.

Nomination Abstract Must Follow

Nomination Abstract – Please provide a brief one paragraph abstract of 150 to 180 words. This abstract should provide a clear, thematic picture that describes the candidate's main accomplishments. It should highlight why the candidate has been nominated to DP and may be taken from other parts of the nomination package. If the candidate is an awardee, this abstract may be used for press releases or testimonials.

An Up-To-Date and Complete Curriculum Vitae Must Follow

An up-to-date and moderately comprehensive vita that should have separate sections for educational background, academic/visiting appointments, honors and awards received, publications, external funding, invited/keynote presentations, other presentations, teaching accomplishments (including lists of graduate dissertations, theses and research directed and other mentoring), and service contributions to the University, the community, and the profession (work with learned societies, editorial boards, conferences organized, and other relevant activities). Entries for awards should indicate significance of each item. Specific data must include the date of the last update, the candidate's department, the date of appointment to the SUNY system, highest rank attained and date of appointment to that rank.

Distinguished Professor – Articles in refereed and non-refereed journals should be clearly distinguished from one another and, preferably, listed separately with full pagination and ordered by date of publication. Books should be listed separately from articles. Publications with multiple authors should indicate the senior author, if there is one, either by a note at the beginning of the publications list (if senior author position is consistent throughout) or by an asterisk indicating the senior author in each entry. External funding entries should indicate agency, amount, dates, and, if there are multiple named investigators, which is the PI.

Internal Letters of Recommendation Must Follow

(Signatures required)

At least five, but no more than eight, letters are needed. Key Campus Nomination Letters – Letters from the Provost (Chief Academic Officer) and candidate's Dean/Division Head are to provide detailed information and the specific rationale – preferably in laymen's terms – for the candidate's nomination and justification for appointment.

External Letters of Recommendation Must Follow

(Signatures and release forms required)

External Letters of Recommendation — At least five but no more than eight, are needed to validate the stature of the candidate proposed for appointment. **Each** external letter of recommendation must be accompanied by the author's full *curriculum vitae*.

Distinguished Professor – These letters should be from individuals whose own status or accomplishment is appropriate to a promotion at this level. They should be persons sufficiently acquainted with both the candidate's work and the profession to be able to write an informed letter specifically locating the candidate's standing in and contribution to the discipline, and explaining the significance of the candidate's awards and honors. Letter writers should be disinterested, that is, generally individuals who have not collaborated, co-authored, co-taught, or been in a student-teacher relationship with the candidate. If the letter writers have collaborated with the candidate in the past, they should pass the same distance test used by the major funding agencies in the candidate's field: if the relationship is recent or close enough that the proposed letter writer would have to recuse himself/herself from a funding panel considering an application for that candidate, then the proposed letter writer is too recent or close for this promotion dossier.